

COMPANY LAW BOARD
NEW DELHI BENCH
NEW DELHI

MENTIONING

CP NO. 11(ND)/2016

CA NO. 183/C-1/2016

PRESENT: CHIEF JUSTICE M. M. KUMAR
CHAIRMAN



ATTENDANCE-CUM-ORDER SHEET OF THE HEARING OF NEW DELHI BENCH OF THE
COMPANY LAW BOARD ON 19.05.2016

NAME OF THE COMPANY: **Ms. Aneesha Dutt & Anr.**

Vs.

M/s. North Bridge Capital Ltd. & Ors.

SECTION OF THE COMPANIES ACT: 397, 398 the Companies Act 1956.

S.NO.	NAME	DESIGNATION	REPRESENTATION	SIGNATURE
1.	Santosh Paul]	Advocates for Petitioner No. 1 & 2	
2.	Smriti Churawal			
3.	Manisha Chandhery, sdr.	}	Respondents No. 1 & 2	
4.	Karan Malhotra, sdr.			

ORDER

11(ND)/2016 and 91 to 96/MB/2014 ANEESHA DUTT v. NORTHBRIDGE etc.

Applications mentioned:

CA No. 183 to 189/C-1)/2016:


A copy of each of the applications has been handed over to the non-applicant-respondent. After obtaining instructions counsel for Respondent No.3 states that there would not be any filing or e-loading of any forms with the ROC without permission of the Board.

P.T.O.

Reply be filed within two weeks with a copy in advance to the counsel for the petitioner. Rejoinder, if any, be filed within two weeks thereafter with a copy in advance to the counsel opposite.

An affidavit in accordance with the order dated 19.04.2016 with regard to the amount of sale proceeds of the properties shall also be filed within two weeks.

To come up with main case on 12.07.2016 at 10.30 AM. *along with CP 92/15, 93/15, 94/15, and 95/15*


[CHIEF JUSTICE M.M. KUMAR]
CHAIRMAN

Date: 19.05.2016
[ravi]